

CARREA CHRISTOPHER
6708 S/E 7TH STREET
RENTON, WASHINGTON, 98059
PROPRIA PERSONA

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT,
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
17-CV-00738 RBL

CARREA CHRISTOPHER,)
PLAINTIFF,)
VS.)
FORD MOTOR CAMPANY,)
INC.;CLAY FORD,)
EXCUTIVE CHAIRMAN OF)
FORD;MARK FIELDS,FORD)
C.E.O.;KERRY BALDORI,)
FORD CHIEF FUNCTIONAL)
ENGINEER;DON BUTLER,)
FORD EXCUTIVE)
DIRECTOR;STEPHEN G.)
BUTLER, FORD BOARD OF)
DIRECTOR;RAPHAEL)
RICHMOND,FORD GLOBAL)
DIRECTOR;)
DEFENDANTS.)

)
CASE NO.:
COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES
AUTOMOBILE, VEHICLE,
LIABILITY; NEGLIGENCE;
BREACH OF DUTY; UNMERITED
WANTON PAIN;MALTREATMENT;
MALICIOUS SPITEFUL INTENT;
MALEPRACTICE;POST TRAMATIC
STRESS SYNDROM;FIXED FOCUSED
OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE

PAGE ONE

JURISDICTION

THE DIVERSITY ISSUE PRESENTED IS THE DEFENDANTS ALL ARE FROM THE STATE OF MICHIGAN.(DEARBORN MICHIGAN) AND PRIMARILY OPERATE AND SET POLICIES AND ORDERS FROM MICHIGAN. THE PLAINTIFF, RESIDE IN STATE OF WASHINGTON. IT MUST ALSO BE NOTED, THIS IS AN VEHICLE PRODUCT LIABILITY CASE OF AN NATIONAL ORGANIZATION. THE DEFENDANTS DELIBERATELY VIOLATED FEDERAL GOVERNMENT(NHTSA)GUILD LINES.

III

THE DEFENDANTS, FORD MOTOR COMPANY, INC. AND IT'S EMPLOYEES,(HEREINAFTER KNOWN AS FORD) ALL MADE AND PRODUCED A 2006 FORD RANGER, THEY KNEW WOULD BOTH KILL AND MURDER INDIVDUALS AND/OR INJURE INDIVIDUALS, THAT DROVE AND/OR WAS A PASSENGER OF THE VEHICLE THEY PRODUCED,(2006 FORD RANGER)(AND OTHER FORD MODELS)BUT STILL PRODUCED THE VEHICLE AND SOLD IT, WHICH CAUSED THE PLAINTIFF, CARREA CHRISTOPHER, JR. , INJURY TO HIS BACK, LEGS, NECK, SHOULDER. ALTHOUGH HIS CHEST WAS DAMAGED WITH HIS RIBS THOSE AREA SOMETIMES ARE NOT AS PAINFUL.

PAGE TWO

III

4 THE DEFENDANTS, FORD MOTOR COMPANY UNDER THE
5 DIRECTION OF ITS STAFF AND BOARD OF DIRECTORS, HAS FAILED TO
6 CORRECT THE PASSENGER AND DRIVER SIDE AIR BAGS AND
7 APPARATUS CONTRAPTION AFTER ALL NATIONAL AND UNITED
8 STATES TRANSPORTATION DEPARTMENT STATED WOULD HARM,
9 HURT AND MURDER OCCUPANTS OF FORD VEHICLES AND MEANS OF
10 TRANSPORTATION. THE FORD RANGER WAS REASONED AND
11 DEEMED MORE/OR LESS HAZARDS DAMAGES.

12 IN THIS CASE IT MUST BE NOTED, THE AIR BAG SMASHED THE
13 PLAINTIFF, CARREA CHRISTOPHER, WITH SO MUCH FORCE HE WENT
14 UNCONSCIOUS WHICH RESULTED IN PERMANENT HEADACHES AND
15 HEAD INJURIES UNTIL THE PRESENT DATE.

16 THE DEFENDANTS OF THIS CASE ARE NOT RESTRAINED BY
17 CONSCIENCE, THEY IN FACT HAVE FOUGHT AGAINST ALL SAFETY
18 AND UNITED STATES TRANSPARTATION DEPARTMENT, VIGROUSLY
19 TRY TO JUSTIFY THE DEATHS OF OCCUPANTS AND THE DEFENDANT,
20 FORD, HAS NOT MADE ANY EFFORT TO INFORM THE GENERAL
21 PUBLIC THE DANGER OF THEIR VEHICLES. THEY ARE
22 UNSCRUPULOUS WITH UNCONSIONABLE UN RESTRAINED EXCESSIVE
23 BEHAIVER, MOTIVATED BY PROFIT ONLY, WITHOUT CONCERN FOR
24 HOW MANY INDIVIDUALS HAVE TO BE DAMAGED BY THEIR
25 PRODUCTS.

PAGE THREE

1 THE DEFENDANT, FORD MOTOR CAMPANY, INC AND THEIR
2 STAFF, THEIR BOARD OF DIRECTORS, WAS NOIFIED BY THE FEDERAL
3 GOVERNMENT, (NHTSA)THE AIR BAGS EXPLODE IN A MANNER THAT
4 UPON DEPLOYMENT OF THE DRIVER SIDE AIR BAGS, EXCESSIVE
5 INTERNAL PRESSURE WILL CAUSE THE INFLATOR TO REPTURE. THE
6 2006 FORD RANGER TRUCKS ARE EQUIPED WITH AIR BAGS THAT ARE
7 SUSCEPTIBLE TO MOISTURE INTRUSION WHICH, OVER TIME, CAUSE
8 THE INFLATOR TO RUPTURE. THE DEFENDANT'S FORD, HOWEVER
9 INSTEAD OF HAVING ADMITTED THEIR DELIBRATE INDIFFRENCE OF
10 THE PLAINTIFF AND THE GENERAL PUBLIC, CONTINUED
11 PRODUCTION OF THEIR UNSAFE VEHICLES, THEY FOUGHT TOOTH
12 AND NAIL TO CONTINUE, THEY ASERTED WAS SAFE DESPITE THE
13 FACT PRIOR AND PRESENT ACCIDENTS OF THEIR PRODUCT
14 RESULTED IN DEATH AND SERIOUS INJURY. THE RECALL HOWEVER
15 ONLY APPLIES TO RANGERS MANUFACTORED IN NORTH AMERICA
16 AS THE VEHICLE THE PLAINTIFF WAS DRIVING THAT CAUSED HIM
17 SERIOUS INJURY.THE DEFENDANTS MADE NO STRONG EFFORT TO
18 NOTIFY THE PUBLIC OF THE HARM.

20

21 IV

22

23

24 THE DEFENDANTS, FORD ALL KNEW OF THE SERIOUS
25 IMMINENT DANGER OF PHYSICAL HARM TO THE PLAINTIFF AND THE
26 GENERAL PUBLIC. THE DEFENDANTS, FORD , HAS MADE IT

27 PAGE FOUR

28 [PLEADING TITLE] - 4

1 IMPOSSIBLE TO FILE STATE CHARGES IN A STATE COURT, DUE TO
2 APPARENT FORD FINANCES IN THE DETROIT, MICHIGAN COLEMAN
3 YOUNG COURT BUILDING CLERKS OFFICE, IN WHICH THE
4 OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE BY THE CLERKS OFFICE AND FORD, THE
5 PLAINTIFF FILED 10 TIMES AND THE CLERKS OFFICE KICKED BACK
6 TO HIM FOR NO OTHER VIABLE REASON BUT MONEY.

7 THE DEFENDANTS, FORD ALL INTENTIONALLY AND
8 COGNIZABLE COMMITTED MALFEASANCE, MALTREATMENT AND
9 MALEPRACTICE, IN THEIR DUTY WITH EXTREME RECKLESS
10 DISREGARD AND UNCONCERN OF THE PLAINTIFF AND THE GENERAL
11 PUBLIC VALUE OF HUMAN LIFE. THE PLAINTIFF, HAS SUFFERED AND
12 WILL SUFFER BACK PAIN, NECK PAIN, SHOULDER PAIN, SLEEP
13 DEPRAVATION AND DEBILITATING HEADACHES, DUE TO THE JULY
14 14, 2016 ACCIDENT IN WHICH THE DEFENDANTS FORD, AIR BAG
15 EXPLODED WITH SO MUCH PRESSURE THE PLAINTIFF, CARREA
16 CHRISTOPHER, WENT UNCONSCIOUS AND OBLIVIOUS AS TO WHAT
17 HAPPEN. THE DEFENDANTS FORD WAS AWARE OF THE RISK THAT
18 THE PLAINTIFF AND OTHERS WOULD SUFFER WITH THE PRODUCT
19 THEY MADE, BUT PROCEEDED TO BRING FORTH FROM THEIR WOMB,
20 A ,DISASTER AND TRAGEDY.

22 THE DEFENDANTS FORD, BROUGHT THE DISASTER INTO BEING
23 BY THEIR COMBINING, SHAPING AND TRANSFORMING INTO BEING A
24 DEATH TRAP. THE DEFENDANTS, FORD DELAYED, DENIED AND
25 INTENTIONALLY INTERFERERED WITH THE RECALL OF THE VEHICLE
26 AND ITS PARTS.

27 PAGE FIVE
28

1 THE DEFENDANTS, FORD THEN CHOSE A COURSE OF
2 TREATMENT THAT IS UNACCEPTABLE UNDER THE CIRCUMSTANCES
3 AND GRAVITY AND SEVERITY, WITH CONSCIOUS DISREGARD OF THE
4 EXCESSIVE RISK TO PLAINTIFF, HEALTH. THE PLAINTIFF, WAS
5 TREATED WITH HOSTILITY AND REFUSED THE PRESCRIBED
6 ATTENTION OF THE REPLACING THE RECALLED BAG AND
7 TREATMENT EVEN AFTER THE DISASTER. IN AN CATASTROPHIC
8 MANNER THEY STATED AFTER A FEDERAL COURT ORDER TO
9 REPLACE THE BAGS, THAT THEY STILL HAD NOT GOTTEN AROUND
10 TO IT, BUT THEY SPEND BILLIONS TO ADVERTISE SALES BUT NOT IN
11 NOTIFYING THE GENERAL PUBLIC OF THE DISASTER OF THEIR
12 VEHICLES, AS WELL AS NOT NOTIFYING THE PLAINTIFF.
13

14
15 WHEREFORE THE PLAINTIFF, CARREA CHRISTOPHER, PRAYS FOR THE
16 FOLLOWING:

- 17 1. FOR GENERAL DAMAGES IN THE SUM OF \$1,000,000,000.00
18 2. FOR PUNITIVE DAMAGES IN THE SUM OF \$5,000,000,000.00
19 3. FOR SUCH OTHER AND FURTHER RELIEF THE COURT DEEMS.
20 4. THE PLAINTIFF, CARREA CHRISTOPHER DEMANDS A JURY
21 TRIAL.

22 DATED: MAY 8, 2017

23 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,
24

25 BY: _____
26

27 CARREA CHRISTOPHER
28

PAGE SIX